

**Comments on the DGEIS / Amended GPP
Submitted through LMDC Website**

Development Comments

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
1	Joseph Parente	NULL	Survivor of 9/11	Other	375 South End Avenue, Apt. 26-S		212/321-3328	kmbjap@aol.com	Constructing a tunnel (of any length) under West Street will be a nightmare for the residents of our Battery Park City community. Travel in and out of the area will be next to impossible - cabs will refuse to come down here as they did long after the attack on the WTC. Foot traffic will be impeded and our long-standing businesses will be driven out. The environmental impact will also be enormous - noise, pollution, etc. will cause even more residents to flee the area. And, just looking at other such tunnels in the city, the final result will be an ugly, unhealthy, unsafe mess for all of us down here. We all are trying to get our lives back to "normal" as much as that is possible, but this idiotic idea, and outrageous waste of money that many experts agree will provide no improvement in traffic flow will surely make any normalcy a fiction. Also, the Gateway buildings' common areas (hallways, rugs, etc.) were never replaced, and the venting systems not cleaned. The money for this ridiculous tunnel should be used to take care of these health issues.	3/14/04 10:27 PM	Joseph	Parente	New York	NY	10280-1080
2	Michael R Edelstein	Ramapo College of New Jersey	Resident of NYC outside of Lower Manhattan	Neighborhoods	505 Ramapo Valley Road		201-684-7745	medelste@ramapo.edu	TESTIMONY ON THE LMDC FGEIS Michael R. Edelstein, Ph.D. Ramapo College of New Jersey I wish to make several brief comments on the LMDC FGEIS. 1. Both NEPA and SEQR place a high value on public input. Given the length and scope of this document, the public was not afforded sufficient time and access to the document before the public hearing. 2. The FGEIS poses as a criteria for significant impact whether the effects of the rebuilding of lower Manhattan will result in impacts in excess of those present at the time of the World Trade Center. However, because the World Trade Center was not subject to an Environmental Impact Statement and significant effects were caused by that project, the LMDC position is not appropriate. Therefore, the LMDC FGEIS and subsequent site-specific proposals must consider impacts of redevelopment projects in and of themselves, not just when they are viewed as exceeding conditions present at the dawn of 9/11. As one case of many in point, the fact that fish were killed by the intake of cooling water at the World Trade Center does not create a threshold for considering fish kills due to the rebuilding effort. Such potential impacts should be mitigated as de novo effects and the decisions of rebuilding should be framed such that the decision makers might decide not to carry out an action even though the impacts that serve as the basis for denial are less than the impacts caused by the World Trade Center but are nevertheless deemed significant. 3. There is lacking in the FGEIS any comprehensive assessment of the impacts of the disaster on the community, a necessary baseline assessment, and, therefore, the potential role of the proposed rebuilding projects in mitigating these impacts. Specifically, there is only minimal consideration of the impacts on the community of the rebuilding effort, particularly in light of the impacts to the community of the disaster and of subsequent community changes. How have individuals and core local institutions been impacted by changes in perceived	3/14/04 9:01 PM	Michael R	Edelstein	Mahwah	NJ	7430

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
3	Charles Kerner	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street, Owner of real estate in Lower Manhattan	Other	21 South End Ave. PH1G		212-786-0443	cwkerner@cris.com	health, economic viability, trust, personal and community control, community character, livability, and safety and can these values be restored by the proposed project and the way it is carried out? 4. Despite an effort to provide some degree of cumulative impact assessment, there are many changes pending in the community that need to be considered but have not been. All known and anticipated changes should be incorporated into the cumulative assessment. 5. Although sustainability issues are discussed, they are restricted to the matter of how green the new buildings will be. Clearly, some exciting innovations in green building design are contemplated. However, the promotion of sustainability incorporates much more than green buildings. The proper consideration of the FGEIS should instead focus upon how the rebuilding effort in Lower Manhattan can promote this area as a sustainable community.	3/14/04 8:27 PM	Charles	Kerner	New York	NY	10280
4	Helene Seeman	BPCUnited	NULL	NULL	377 Rector Place		212 945-4979	HZSeeman@aol.com	I am opposed to the West St. tunnel.It will wreck havoc for many years.Why build another underground structure when street level will suffice beautifully.Why create another bathtub problem in a fragile environment?I am also concerned about the other construction issues: trucks need to be retrofitted so emissions are not as harmful,air needs to be continually monitored AND a system of reporting regularly to neighborhood watchdog groups set up.	3/14/04 8:12 PM	Helene	Seeman	NYC	NULL	10280
5	brian massa	bcm commodities	Owner of real estate in Lower Manhattan	WTC Site Planning	377 rector pl 19e		NULL	bcmfutures@hotmail.com	I just wanted to make a statement that I oppose the construction of a tunnel at the west street location. There are many reasons to not build which Im sure you are aware of. The most obvious though is yet another target for terrorist attack. Also the massive traffic jams that will be caused for 6 years or for however long it would take to build. This is something that is completely unnecessary and a waste of time and money.	3/14/04 6:18 PM	brian	massa	ny	ny	10280
6	Paul Liubicich	NULL	Commuter to Lower Manhattan	Transportation	3 Manor Road		203 775-1787	paul.liubicich@gte.net	I am a commodities broker at the NY Board of Trade in the Mercantile Exchange building in the Financial Center. I am against building the West St. tunnel. It will adversely affect my commute for many years.	3/14/04 5:50 PM	Paul	Liubicich	Brookfield	Connecticut	6804
7	Janice C. Smith	Battery Park City resident	NULL	Other	380 Rector Place, Apt. 21 J		212-945-9167	Jansmith380@aol.com	I am opposed to the tunnel planned for the West Street portion in front of the WTC. It is too costly a project for such questionable worth. Also, the additional construction in Battery Park City will be unbearable.	3/14/04 4:02 PM	Janice C.	Smith	New York	NY	10280

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
8	Robert Mabry	none	NULL	Other	70 Battery Place		212.204.6385	rdmabry@rcn.com	Please know that my wife and I, who have been residents of Battery Park since Mar 02, are opposed to the tunnel proposal for West St. When we moved down to Battery Park from the upper east side to be a part of the re-building of Lower Manhattan, this was not what we had in mind. We see few if any long term benefits that would justify the expense, disruption, and segmentation of our community by this proposal, and we sincerely hope that you will reconsider this "big dig".	3/14/04 3:16 PM	Robert	Mabry	New York	NY	10280
9	Benjamin Hemric	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	79 Sullivan Street		(212) 925-6133	gothamexcelsior@yahoo.com.au	It appears to me that your Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement is overlooking a number of very important possible negative impacts of the proposed redevelopment of Lower Manhattan and the city at large. Thus I hope further efforts will examine the following: VEHICULAR TRAFFIC 1) For the almost thirty years that the WTC site blocked off Greenwich, it provided a method of traffic evaporation in Lower Manhattan, similar to the evaporation that happened in Greenwich Village with the closing of Fifth Ave. through Washington Sq. Park. What negative impacts of increased traffic will a Greenwich St. re-opened to vehicular traffic have? 2) Will opening Greenwich St. to vehicular traffic THROUGH "Ground Zero" have a negative affect on traffic in Lower Manhattan by attracting additional automobiles and buses to Lower Manhattan as people try to see "Ground Zero" from inside a bus or to show "Ground Zero" to out-of-town friends and relatives? 3) Will the absence of a screening building on West St. also attract vehicular traffic, and create "rubber necking" along the street because visitors will be driving by in autos and buses to see "Ground Zero"? 4) What affects would entry and exit ramps for a West St. tunnel have on surrounding areas, both visually (highway like walls and highway signage) and in terms of traffic and pedestrian safety (speeding cars, merging traffic, deminished visibilty for tunnel drivers, etc.). Also how would affect entry and exit from Battery Park City? PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION & CONNECTIVITY 1) Cedar St. once went unobstructed from Broadway to West St. The construction of the Deutsche Bank Building (originally Bankers Trust) blocked off this street. The plans shown in your DGEIS show that Cedar St. will continue to remain obstructed. How will this discontinuation of Cedar St. negatively affect connectivity and the plans to revitalize the area south of Liberty St.? 2) The original WTC site plan had a very clever double-decked pedestrian system. The memorial plaza was essentially street level with Church and the World Financial Center concourse and served as a giant pedestrian bridge. The transportation / shopping concourse, contrary to popular opinion, was virtually street level at West, Washington and Greenwich. Pedestrians could enter at street level on West St. and walk with virtually no change in grade to both the "E" and the "N" / "R". This set up was ideal for the old, infirm and mobility impaired (wheelchairs, little children, luggage carts) and large crowds of commuters. Where will the new transporation concourse be located vis a vis the various	3/14/04 2:57 PM	Benjamin	Hemric	New York	NY	10012

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
									mass transit lines? For instance, will it travel below the tunnel for the #1 train? If so, what negative impacts will this have on those coming from BPC or the Hudson ferries who are trying to reach the "E" or the "N" / "R" using this new weather-protected concourse? 3) Your plans show that you are replacing the Memorial Plaza with s						
10	Catherine McShane	NULL	Other	Other	238 Bay 2nd Street		(631)669-6798	tcmac3@optonline.net	I am 9/11 widow and my comments are re: the DGEIS Current plans to build the Freedom Tower and rebuild 10 million sq ft of office space will minimize the WTC memorial. The office space being rebuilt was never fully occupied over the 30 years that the towers stood and consequently carried a \$100 million dollar NYC tax subsidy. Rebuilding this office space would be detrimental to the future memorial and to the tax payers of New York. The memorial should be the focal point of the site and the primary focus of development. As this statement clearly outlines, the Memorial has been regulated to a fraction of the 16 acre site and will be dwarfed by large commercial office buildings. Our major concern as family members with the Environmental Impact Statement is the Description of the Proposed Action and the Site Plan. Both diminish the historic significance and the WTC memorial as the focal point and respectful remembrance of our loved ones. 1. Description of the Proposed Action is to "revive and enhance the Financial District". The WTC site and all development should be regarded as a respectful sacred space to remember the 2,747 lives lost on 9/11. 2. The site plan includes extension of 2 streets through the current site that will be running on the perimeter of the WTC memorial. This will unequivocally diminish the respectful atmosphere of the memorial. Fulton street will run east-west; and Greenwich St will run north-south. Extension of these streets cuts off the memorial from the entire site and minimalizes it even further. 3. The proposed timeline and deadlines are too aggressive to incorporate the necessary reflection and historic significance of the site. 4. The most important element not included in the EIS is the Port Authority's continued exemption from NY State and NYC Building and Fire Codes. In 1973, the FDNY made grave recommendations and opposition to the PA regarding Fire Codes in building the WTC Towers without any incorporation of the FDNY; again following the 1993 bombing the FDNY made recommendations regarding safety in the Towers and evacuation. The McKinsey report following 9/11/01 reiterated many of these same reported safety concerns. Despite building within the FDNY jurisdiction, the Freedom Tower, which will be the largest building in the world, will once again be exempt under the Port Authority from NYC building and fire codes. The FDNY should have authority to inspect and authorize occupancy during the	3/14/04 2:15 PM	Catherine	McShane	West Islip	NY	11795

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
									planning, construction and development phases.						
11	Catherine McShane	NULL	Other	Other	238 Bay 2nd Street		NULL	NULL	NULL	3/14/04 2:13 PM	Catherine	McShane	NUL L	NULL	NULL
12	Louis Massari	Voices of 911	Resident of Tri-State area outside of NYC	Other	NULL		NULL	Bbl3262002@yahoo.com	I am writing in regards to an E-mail I received and I find it so wrong about how the whole process is going with all the Planning of rebuilding downtown and I did not know that so much space was being wasted and costing the tax payers, I am very upset about how the place where many lives were lost on that day including my wife be more about rebuilding financially then it is of remembrance of the whole event. My wife's memory and all the others should be honored the way all the families would like it honored with the upmost respect according to the way we like it, that is just my opinion, it just angers me so much. Louis Massari	3/14/04 12:25 PM	Louis	Massari	NUL L	NULL	NULL
13	Kathleen Britton	NULL	Survivor of 9/11, Former resident of Lower Manhattan, Formerly employed in Lower Manhattan	Other	11527 Corliss Avenue		(646) 246-6424	KBrittonNYC@aol.com	Please do NOT bury West Street. The extended amount of construction time will, I believe, place an unnecessarily difficult burden on many of those most affected by the disaster. It will be expensive with very few benefits perceived by many residents of lower Manhattan in general, and Battery Park City in particular. Please do NOT bury West Street.	3/14/04 11:41 AM	Kathleen	Britton	Charl otte	NC	28277
14	FRANK MASSA	LIBERTY HOUSE	Owner of real estate in Lower Manhattan	Other	380 RECTOR PLACE 9G		212 786 9891	FMNYC@AOL.COM	I am against Burying any part of West St. A tunnel near the WTC site would provide a unique terrorist target. The walls of the tunnel would abut the retaining walls of the WTC "bathtub." Any car or truck bomb could enter at any time without scrutiny.	3/14/04 11:15 AM	FRANK	MASSA	NEW YORK	NY	10280
15	Krista Nieder-Eichholz	NULL	Former resident of Lower Manhattan	Neighborhoods	380 Rector Place Apt21B		212 945 4537	NULL	Do not bury West Street and do not permit Garages for tour buses built in our Residential Neighborhood. We have suffered enough from NOISE and AIR POLLUTION. I moved here to be in a quiet residential neighborhood. It has been overbuilt and I hope this project will not come to be. Thank you	3/14/04 8:17 AM	Krista	Nieder-Eichholz	New York	NY	10280-1449
16	Matt Hayworth	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Transportation	2 South End Ave 3B		212-227-6958	matthayworth@netscape.net	Please do not bury West Street. Very little benefit and much harm will come from it. The money can be much better spent elsewhere on more worthy transportation projects. Make West Street a grand Boulevard.	3/14/04 7:41 AM	Matt	Hayworth	New York	NY	10280

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
17	DANIELA MASSA	NULL	Survivor of 9/11	Neighborhoods	380 RECTOR PLACE APT 9G		212 786-9891	DMNYC119@AOL.COM	Please DON'T BURY WEST STREET!!! Our neighborhood will once again have access problems, it will be dangerous in case of fires since access will be limited for many years. The expense of burying West St. will be too enormous for the city, That money can be spent on schools! Thank you for your consideration. Daniela Massa	3/14/04 6:29 AM	DANIELA	MASSA	NYC	NY	10280
18	Peggy Dowling	NULL	NULL	WTC Site Planning	18 Fulton Avenue		516-2488565	NULL	If they plan on building they should make sure the FDNY is brought in to make sure the building is up to code.	3/13/04 8:38 PM	Peggy	Dowling	Garden City Park New York	NY	11040
19	Seth Wilpan	Conscious Decision, Inc.	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	375 South End Avenue, #14C		212-912-9767	seth@wilpan.com	I am a resident of Gateway Plaza in Battery Park City, the residential complex in Battery Park City closest to the World Trade Center site and one of only two residential complexes physically damaged in the attacks of September 11. Chapters 13A, 21 and 22, and Appendices E and J of the Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement (DGEIS) address, among other things, the issue of traffic along West Street, and the impacts of the WTC redevelopment under scenarios that include (a) a short tunnel on West Street and (b) keeping West Street at grade. The DGEIS makes abundantly clear that the tunnel option will make life miserable for both residents and workers downtown, particularly those in Battery Park City for periods of years. The DGEIS also makes clear that the end result of this multi-year construction purgatory will have little, if any, benefit to workers and residents downtown. According to the DGEIS, building a tunnel will still require 2 to 3 lanes of traffic in either direction at grade. In addition, the entrance and exit ramps for the tunnel lanes will decrease connectivity at points that BPC workers and residents frequently use to cross West Street - at Albany Street and at Murray Street. Moreover, the DGEIS appears to underestimate the amount of traffic that the WTC redevelopment will generate locally. As a resident of Lower Manhattan, I urge the LMDC to study and learn the lesson that the DGEIS clearly teaches: the tunnel option for rebuilding West Street will be a disaster for downtown residents and workers during its years of construction, and will have little, if any, benefit to downtown residents and workers upon its completion - and, indeed, will likely thwart the connectivity that we seek between BPC and the rest of downtown.	3/13/04 7:06 PM	Seth	Wilpan	New York	NY	10280
20	Kimberly Conte	resident of lower Manhattan	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Neighborhoods	15 Park Row Apt 25B		2127486144	kaconte@aol.com	Have there been any discussions about extending the LMDC grant for residents of lower manhattan. I know for my husband and I it will be economically challenging to continue to live downtown come DEc 31st when our 2 yr lease expires.	3/13/04 7:05 PM	Kimberly	Conte	new york	ny	10038

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
21	Steven Abramson	114 Liberty Condominium	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	114 Liberty St.		212-771-7317	srabramson@rcn.com	I am a condominium owner at 114 Liberty St. Our building has been under renovation due to 9/11 damage and contamination. We will all return to the building in July 2004. It is directly across from the site so the environmental impact of the proposed action is critical to us. First, the LMDC must enforce all environmental rules ON-SITE with the numerous contractors, truckers, construction companies, etc. The rules are useless without enforcement. I do not trust the companies rebuilding the site to comply with the rules without strict enforcement including suspensions from contracts. They don't care about fines. Second, the LMDC must have a facility on site open 7 days a week for residents to see officials to report violations and get immediate action. Third, UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY CONSTRUCTION BE DONE ON SATURDAYS. As Alan Gerson said, this is a "nonstarter"! Fourth, implement ultralow sulfur diesel fuel right away; do not wait. Fifth, we need a plan for demolition of Deutsche Bank building. All noise and environmental restrictions must be implemented. Sixth, implement ALL noise mitigation measures: Acoustic barriers and walled enclosures around certain construction activities, put silencers on jackhammers, eliminate truck backup noise, DO NOT ALLOW TRUCKS TO IDLE AT ALL AT ANY TIME; load and unload inside the bathtub; Seventh, offset ALL air pollution so that we can live there in health, peace, and quiet. If the LMDC really wants to encourage residential living near the site, then all of the above measures need to be strictly enforced. My family will be across the street--we want to live in peace, and we will be watching and speaking up when the LMDC does not protect us from hazardous toxins and noise. Thank you	3/13/04 1:48 PM	Steven	Abramson	New York	New York	10006
22	diane dreyfus	LINA, 911_healthAlerts	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	253 Elizabeth Street		2122267027	didreyfus@yahoo.com	Because of the significance of the evidence presented in the Class Action captioned below, the Environmental Impact Statement must include this case by reference in at least the Air Quality, Construction, Environmental Justice Sections of the Final EIS: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Gail Benzman, Diane Lapson, Jim and Anamae : Civ. No: Gilroy, JoAlison Polett, Robert Gulack, Janice : Fried, John Calder, Jenna Orkin, Kelly Colangelo, : George Dinos, and Brian Edwards, on their behalf : and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, : : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED Plaintiffs, : v. : Christine Todd Whitman, Marianne L. : Horinko, Michael Leavitt, and the United States : Environmental Protection Agency, : Defendants.	3/13/04 11:18 AM	diane	dreyfus	nyc	ny	10012

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
23	Bill Love	Coalition to Save West Street	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	333 Rector Place, Apt. 11-B		(212) 786-4897	blove93@earthlink.net	The following comments relate solely to the DGEIS: On behalf of the Coalition to Save West Street (the "Coalition"), a group comprised of approximately 400 residents of Lower Manhattan which was formed in 2002 in order to oppose plans to tunnel a portion of West Street, I offer the following comments regarding the DGEIS for the World Trade Center Memorial and Redevelopment Plan. Most of these comments relate to the portion of the DGEIS that discusses the plans for West Street. Following the Coalition's detailed review of Section 21.4.2 of the DGEIS ("Construction Methods: Route 9A Short Bypass Alternative"), it is quite clear to us that the New York State Department of Transportation ("NYSDOT") initially seriously underestimated the amount of time that would be required for the construction of a bypass tunnel. At their presentation before Community Board One this past summer, NYSDOT estimated that 2.5 years would be required for actual construction (following the initial planning and engineering studies). In the DGEIS, the work on the tunnel is broken into four stages, with Stage I to "commence early in 2005" and Stage IV to "be complete by 2nd quarter 2008." So now we are potentially talking about construction that goes on for all of 2005, 2006, 2007 and half of 2008 -- 3.5 years, or a 40% increase over last summer's estimates. And that does not even include any time estimates for what the draft refers to as "Early Action Items" prior to Stage I, involving "relocation of existing utilities within the Route 9A Right-of-Way." While that technically may not constitute the beginning of tunnel construction, it is likely to mark the beginning of serious traffic disruptions on West Street and associated headaches for residents due to "possible lane closings for construction vehicle access and temporary staging areas." In short, the first in what will undoubtedly be a series of upward adjustments in the time estimate for tunnel construction has been made. Realistically, the Coalition believes that we are looking at least five years of massive construction disruption on West Street if the tunnel option is chosen, and even that may look wildly optimistic in retrospect. Second, it is also clear that the construction of the tunnel is going to be a much more difficult and expensive undertaking than we were previously led to believe because the DGEIS calls for construction of both permanent and temporary slurry walls, with the permanent slurry wall also enclosing a relocated sanitary interceptor sewer. The DGEIS further discloses that, "The slurry wall would be excavated to bedrock to limit the drawdown of groundwater and to prevent the intrusion of Hudson River water into the excavation." Prior to the release of the DGEIS, we never heard NYSDOT mention in any of their public presentations the need to construct an entirely new slurry wall west of the tunnel. That may account for a significant portion of the time estimate increase.	3/13/04 5:04 AM	Bill	Love	New York	NY	10280

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
24	Michael Sinansky	Licensed Professional Engineer	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Transportation	200 Rector Place - Apt.28N		212-945-1739	sinansky@rcn.com	My comment relates to the proposed short "by-pass" tunnel on West Street. On two separate occasions, I have requested the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) to provide a traffic impact analysis of the "weave" traffic conditions that would be created at the entrance to and exit from the so-called short by-pass tunnel on West Street. Once by e-mail and later by written letter, N.Y.S.D.O.T. was requested to supply traffic impact data, in terms of before and after levels of traffic carrying capacity ("A" to "F") on West Street, where the traffic "weaves" would be created at the decision points of entering and leaving the proposed tunnel section on West Street. Unfortunately, I have yet to receive a response from N.Y.S.D.O.T. to either of my requests submitted months ago, nor do I see any useful traffic information or data in the DGEIS that provides any insight on how these critical and potentially hazardous traffic conditions are intended to be safely handled, if this by-pass tunnel on West Street is, in fact constructed at a cost of over one billion dollars.	3/12/04 8:26 PM	Michael	Sinansky	New York	New York	10280
25	Pedro Ramos	NULL	Resident of Tri-State area outside of NYC	Other	363 Highland Avenue		(973) 472-1978	agustinramos@mybluelight.com	RE:Section 106 Process Section 106 Process comments. This in regard of the Section 106 Process. It is important to say that the money given to the LMDC and further authorities that can be spent on slurry wall preserving, destroyed tower pieces, and the examining of other such items should be rather spent in what truly matters. The correct way to expend the money will be by courageously rebuilding the Twin Towers. The rebuilding of the Towers would be the single greatest act against terrorism. The money should not be spend on cataloging and documenting terrorism but should be spent on rebuilding the Twin Towers and defying terrorism!	3/12/04 6:57 PM	Pedro	Ramos	Clifton	NJ	7011
26	Alexander Butziger	WTC Restoration Movement	Visitor to Lower Manhattan	Other	Peter-Beenck-Strasse 51A		-2185	ambutziger@hotmail.com	Re: Section 106 Process Regarding the Section 106 Process it must be said that the money expended by the LMDC and other authorities on the cataloging and examining as historic structures of maimed tower footings, slurry walls, etc. should rather be spent on rebuilding the only truly historic structures that existed within the WTC: the Twin Towers - at least as tall as before.	3/12/04 1:42 PM	Alexander	Butziger	Ham burg	Germa ny	21107
27	Worldwide Foundation Worldwide Foundation	NULL	Other	Neighborhoods	Plaza Nueva 11		34609589009	manolo16@wanadoo.es	Estimados Sres. La Fundacion Woldwide, desearia construir o adaptar un edificio para Museo en Nueva York, La coleccion de la Fundacion se desarrolla en tres aspectos. - Escultura moderna y clasica. -Arte Oriental y europeo. - Colecion de arte(pintura y escultura) de vanguardia. El numero de visitantes que puede generar para Nueva York al año es de 35.000. En caso de que dispongan de un edificio que reuna las condiciones suficientes (2,000 a 3000 m2 de superficie en una o varias plantas, con acceso directo a calle) por favor comuniquenos la fecha para una posible visita de nuestro equipo de arquitectos. Reciban un saludo. Virtual Polisse. CHABRERA WORLDWIDE INTERNATIONAL FOUNDATION BERLIN- BERNA-SEVILLA visit: www.chabrera.grupouni2.com PLAZA NUEVA Nº 11-2ª . 41001 SEVILLA-SPAIN. Mvl.(34)609589009 - FX:(34)954220526 Email: manolo16@wanadoo.es	3/12/04 11:17 AM	Worldwide	Worldwide Foundation	Sevilla	Spain	Europe

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
28	Martin Baron	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	355 South End Avenue, Apt. 26-J		212-432-6303	mbaron4@nyc.rr.com	I strongly oppose a West Street tunnel, it serves no valid purpose, and will likely cause increased congestion and pollution at the tunnel entrances, and since there will still be traffic lanes at the surface, the stated reason for the tunnel will not even be realized. The money it will cost will be much better sent on other projects.	3/11/04 10:36 PM	Martin	Baron	New York	New York	10280
29	JL McCall	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	355 South End Ave		212-840-1234	NULL	I have lived in lower Manhattan in several locations for many years, and also currently work there. Regardless of environmental review, the rebuilt trade center area and environs will be absolutely ruined for many years to come with the building of a bypass tunnel on West St. - it is a massive waste of money; a political embarrassment meant only to serve developers, Brookfield in particular, who do not live there; is a slap in the face of all its longtime residents who have said "no" to this, and absolutely meaningless to the army of visitors we expect in future. Most of all, forcing a tunnel into these four blocks diverts effort and finances from countless other needs in all of lower Manhattan. Stupid and harmful are the operative words here! NO TUNNEL.	3/11/04 9:22 PM	JL	McCall	NY	NY	10280
30	Karlene Wiese	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	355 South End Avenue #5N		212-432-7344	NULL	I am a 12 year resident living across from the WTC site. I have attended the public hearings and I have thoroughly studied the plans for West Street. My conclusion is that the at-grade, 8 lane roadway with landscaped medians is the only reasonable way to go forward. The 4-block tunnel option will only increase heavy local commercial traffic and do nothing towards alleviating any traffic to/from Brooklyn. Creating the tunnel and moving the "bathtub" is an obscene waste of transportation money that should be used for the real traffic nightmares such as Canal Street and the transportation hub so sorely needed in downtown. It is common knowledge that the 4-block tunnel was put forth for the sole benefit of Brookfield Properties so that the World Financial Center can be opposite the "Site" thus creating an "image". The "image" would be better served with the 8-lane Boulevard. Thank you.	3/11/04 8:29 PM	Karlene	Wiese	New York	NY	10280
31	Evyn Moss	Consultant	Other	NULL	19900 Newton Av		216-481-6374	infowoman3001@yahoo.com	Viable residential neighborhoods with access and respect for pedestrians are vital to the physical and mental health of Lower Manhattan. The tunnel plans for West Street are a boondoggle insult to the people, to the taxpayers.	3/10/04 5:59 PM	Evyn	Moss	Euclid	OH	44119
32	Evyn Moss	Consultant	Visitor to Lower Manhattan	Other	19900 Newton Av		216-481-6374	infowoman3001@yahoo.com	Viable residential neighborhoods with access and respect for pedestrians are vital to the physical and mental health of Lower Manhattan. The tunnel plans for West Street are an arrogant insulting boondoggle to the people, to the taxpayers.	3/10/04 4:24 PM	Evyn	Moss	Euclid	OH	44119
33	Evyn Moss	Consultant	Other	NULL	19900 Newton Av		216-481-6374	infowoman3001@yahoo.com	Viable residential neighborhoods with access and respect for pedestrians are vital to the physical and mental health of Lower Manhattan. The tunnel plans for West Street are an boondoggle insult to the people, to the taxpayers.	3/10/04 4:21 PM	Evyn	Moss	Euclid	OH	44119

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
34	Alexander Butziger	WTC Restoration Movement	Visitor to Lower Manhattan	Other	Peter-Beenck-Strasse 51A		-2185	ambutziger@hotmail.com	Re: Comment on the Amended General Project Plan. There are several problems with the Amended GPP. The Amended GPP states that there is a broad public consensus that the memorial should define the new WTC. That is not true. There are those who say that there should not be a memorial at all. There are those who say that the best memorial is a marker on the side of rebuilt Twin Towers, spelling: "On September 11, 2001, a handful of tent-dwellers thought they could stop THIS." The public was evenly divided on whether the footprints should be built upon when Governor Pataki single-handedly imposed his view. Anyway, more people are concerned about rebuilding stronger Twin Towers than about the memorial. There are some more flaws in the Amended GPP that need to be changed: The Amended GPP does not call for all the office space destroyed or damaged to be rebuilt. Even if the Southern Site is added, the total rebuilt office space will be less than what previously existed in the WTC, let alone on both lots in total. This cannot be called a full rebuilding. The most fundamental, glaring and scandalous flaw of the Amended GPP is, however, that it does not call for rebuilding the office space on a heroic scale, to wit in 110+ story towers. The Amended GPP allows for the two most recognizable and most iconic buildings in the world to be replaced with whatever mediocre office buildings promise the greatest short term profit, ignoring all long term implications on profits as well as on principle. This is not a full and appropriate rebuilding, but treating mass murder as an occasion to turn the WTC, the Center of the World, into a Postmodern, suburban office park. The Amended GPP should call for rebuilding the WTC Twin Towers at least as tall as before. The Twin Towers should even be rebuilt taller, stronger and safer. In any event, they must be at least as tall as the Twin Towers that were taken from us by all measures: to highest floor, to roof, to tip of spire and to tip of antenna. This is the most important requirement an Amended GPP must contain. Incidentally, rebuilding the Twin Towers will be the best possible memorial. Any memorial that lacks rebuilt Twin Towers, at least as tall as before, is nothing but a shameful monument to terrorism. The Amended GPP does, however, call for "condemning" – for confiscating - for stealing - property authorities cannot buy. This is not right. The government should not use force to coerce peaceable property owners to sell their land if they do not wish to. For guidance as to how the Project should rather look like, look at the Gardner / Belton plan ("The Plan of the People") at http://www.teamtwintowers.org/planindex.html or at what you like to call the Restoration Alternative.	3/10/04 2:50 PM	Alexander	Butziger	Ham burg	Germa ny	21107

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
35	Alexander Butziger	WTC Restoration Movement	Visitor to Lower Manhattan	Other	Peter-Beenck-Strasse 51A		-2185	ambutziger@hotmail.com	Re: DGEIS In amendment to the DGEIS comment I sent you via mail, let me elaborate on the following point: "PBS reported that under the new evacuation procedures of Taipei Financial Center and Shanghai World Financial Center people can use smoke resistant elevators to exit the building in less than 20 minutes. At the same time, firefighters can take the elevators to fight the fire. They compared the Asian evacuation procedures with the ones designed by Mr. Childs for 7 WTC. Mr. Childs' plan to use extra wide stairways to evacuate the building could take an hour. Mr. Silverstein said his buildings would be the safest in America. But these safest buildings in America would still fall far short of the new buildings in Asia. The final design of Freedom Tower will have to be better than 7 WTC to be as safe as the Asian towers." This has direct bearing on the Restoration Alternative: this technology further refutes Mr. Silverstein's irrational 70 story height limit. It takes an hour to evacuate the 52 floors of 7 WTC via extra wide stairwells, but less than 20 minutes to evacuate the roughly 90 occupied floors of Taipei Financial Center by smoke resistant elevators. Thus, it stands to reason that an about 90 floor new WTC Tower equipped with a conventional, non-skylobby system of smoke resistant elevators can be evacuated in a fraction of the time it would take to evacuate the 70 occupied floors of Freedom Tower via extra wide stairwells. Even new 110 floor WTC Towers requiring a skylobby type elevator system - if this skylobby elevator system is made smoke resistant - could be evacuated faster than a 50 to 70 story WTC building relying on extra wide stairwells. Hence, another point in favor of new 110+ floor Twin Towers. Some more points that need being addressed: There are concerns that under the Proposed Action, sidewalks are too narrow. Particularly, there would be congestion in front of the Freedom Tower main entrance during rush hours. Also, there is not space for taxi ranks in front of the hotel. Taxis and buses would have to pick up and drop off passengers in the middle of the street, blocking it. Apparently, the DGEIS boosts the Proposed Action by counting the blacktop of the streets and even some space in Battery Park City as new WTC open space. Barren sidewalks count towards "quality" open space. The Proposed Action is indeed a sad travesty if it is necessary to stoop to such spin for it to compete with the Restoration Alternative. Memorial waterfalls are not a good idea, as there will be toxic mists from antifreeze that must be added during winter. It has been calculated that the memorial pools' pumps will consume more energy per year than the Empire State Building. This excessive waste of energy is another reason to choose a different memorial design. I would appreciate if you could provide me with a written copy of the Final GEIS when it is issued.	3/10/04 1:54 PM	Alexander	Butziger	Ham burg	Germa ny	21107

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
36	Worldwide Int.Foundation Worldwide Foundation	NULL	NULL	NULL	Plaza Nueva 11-2ª		34609589009	manolo16@wanadoo.es	Estimados Sres. La Fundacion Woldwide, desearia construir o afdaptar un edificio para museo en Nueva York, La coleccion de la Fundacion se desarrolla en tres aspectos. - Escultura moderna y clasica. -Arte Oriental y europeo. - Colecion de arte(pintura y escultura) de vanguardia. -Centro International de estudio y desarrollo de Diseño de Vanguardia El numero de visitantes que puede generar para Nueva York al año es de 35.000. En caso de que dispongan de un edificio que reuna las condiciones suficientes (2,000 a 3000 m2 de superficie en una o varias plantas, con acceso directo a calle) por favor comuniquenos la fecha para una posible visita de nuestro equipo de arquitectos. Reciban un saludo. Virtual Polisse. CHABRERA WORLDWIDE INTERNATIONAL FOUNDATION BERLIN- BERNASEVILLA visit: www.chabrera.grupouni2.com PLAZA NUEVA Nº 11-2ª . 41001 SEVILLA-SPAIN. Mvl.(34)609589009 - FX:(34)954220526 Email: manolo16@wanadoo.es	3/10/04 2:35 AM	Worldwide Int.Foundation	Worldwide Foundation	Sevilla- Spain	Spain	41001
37	Lisa Dellaportas	NULL	Owner of real estate in Lower Manhattan	Other	377 Rector Place, #15A		NULL	dellajo@aol.com	Please do not bury West Street. Please do not put a bus garage in BPC Site 26. Please send me a WRITTEN COPY of the Final GEIS when it is issued. Thank you.	3/9/04 11:17 PM	Lisa	Dellaportas	New York	NY	10280
38	Joy E. Goldberg	NULL	Resident of NYC outside of Lower Manhattan	Other	114 Crescent St., Apt. N1		(718) 235-5417	jgcitygin@aol.com	This is a comment on the Amended GPP: I have visited the small businesses surrounding the WTC area, shopped in some stores, eaten in some restaurants, etc. They are a melting pot of every ethnic background: a miniature New York City in themselves. But one common thread: their eyes are sad and depressed. Evidently, you don't care about that. If you did, you would give the businesses and the people of this city and all over the opportunity to clearly vote between the Restoration "Alternative": restoring the Twin Towers which is the NORMAL thing to do and which would cause a renaissance in this city that would never end: spiritually and econmically; or your generic tower which is taller than Hong Kong, but still is the same generic tower. AND between a RESPECTFUL, AESTHETIC, PEACEFUL memorial and your Horrifically twisted, sick, perverse, disgusting TWIN "reflecting ABYSS" concept. Picture happy faces from all over the world coming forever to see THAT!! Wowee!!! No way! After a while, it would be chartered bus packages coming to see a deathsite. And for THIS you would stifle the people getting knowledge that you COULD rebuild the REAL WTC, the ALTERNATE name for it ALWAYS HAVING BEEN (in case you forgot): THE TWIN TOWERS. A whole lot of people can tell where your sentiments lie: it sure isn't for doing the right thing.	3/9/04 9:03 PM	Joy E.	Goldberg	Brooklyn	N.Y.	11208
39	andrew scott	construction	Other	WTC Site Planning	86 high street, hampton wick		+44 208 977 8176	andrewscott007@hotmail.com	I visit Manhattan from London on a regular basis to the point where I have almost adopted it as a second home. I would very much like to be involved in the re-construction of the new meeorial site and can offer my skills as an established construction/project manager from England. It would be most helpful if I could be pointed in the right direction so that I could contact the necessary parties and can hopefully fulfill my desire in the rebuilding of Lower Manhattan. Many Thanks Andrew Scott	3/9/04 8:56 PM	andrew	scott	surrey	england	kt14dq

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
40	Joy E	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL		NULL	NULL	NULL	3/9/04 8:33 PM	Joy E	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL
41	Gene Russianoff	NYPIRG Straphangers Campaign	Commuter to Lower Manhattan	Other	9 Murray Street		212-349-6460	grussian@nypirg.org	March 9, 2004 Kevin Rampe President Lower Manhattan Development Corporation One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 Dear Mr. Rampe: This letter constitutes the comments of the NYPIRG Straphangers Campaign on the Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement (DGEIS) for the World Trade Center Memorial and Redevelopment Plan. The Straphangers Campaign is a member of the Civic Alliance to Rebuild Downtown New York and I serve on LMDC's Commuter Advisory Council. My organization has been located downtown for more than 30 years, three blocks directly north of the World Trade Center Site. My comments address three areas: (1) reasonable alternatives; (2) transportation; and (3) air quality. 1. The Straphangers Campaign strongly shares the Civic Alliance's view that the DGEIS fails to fully explore reasonable alternatives to the Proposed Action for the WTC Redevelopment Plan. The failure to include a thorough analysis of reasonable alternatives violates both the spirit and the letter of the relevant state and federal environmental laws, NEPA and SEQRA. We urge the LMDC and the Port Authority to consider the Reduced Impact Alternative in order to avoid litigation, project delay and impediments to the economic recovery of Lower Manhattan. Specifically, the Reduced Impact Alternative as described in the DGEIS does not constitute a true alternative for significantly reduced commercial office and retail space on the Project Site and an increased mix of other activities, such as housing, civic or cultural programming and open space. The current "Reduced Impact Alternative" presented in the draft GEIS is not sufficiently evaluated. In fact, the treatments of all alternatives in the DGEIS are summary and dismissive. The reasons for giving a serious review to these alternatives is compelling laid out in the Civic Alliance's comments. These include the strong desire for consideration of reduced commercial and retail space expressed in many public forums; the likely environmental benefits; and the detrimental impact of overdevelopment on the rest of downtown; 2. The DGEIS indicates significant adverse traffic impacts to be generated by the Proposed Action at 18 of 40 intersections by 2009 and 25 of 40 intersections by 2015. This is a very poor outcome following the expenditure of many billions of dollars on transportation improvements in and around the World Trade Center site. The Straphangers Campaign urges serious exploration of the following traffic reduction strategies: - increased and more attractive subway and bus service to and in lower Manhattan; - restriction on parking expansion; - the use of congestion pricing measures to reduce peak period use of motor vehicles; - street management plans that favor pedestrians with selective elimination or restriction of vehicle flow, prohibition tourists' buses within a prescribed area of Lower Manhattan; -	3/9/04 4:52 PM	Gene	Russianoff	New York	New York	10007

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
									working with hotels, airport and train						
42	chuck desler	charles desler architect	Other	Other	864 oak terrace		530 6269416	dogcosmo@pabell.net	Lieskind and Calatrava's stuff is spectacular and the presentation through the short clips is magnificent. Calatrava needs a few more trees/ferns and a couple of real seats would not be bad but his stuff is great, but then again I always was a fan of Spock (the Vulcan Salute). Having spent many an enjoyable ride on BART and MUNI and some time on the Underground in London and the Metro I can positively, absolutely with most certainty guarantee that more than one lad is going to take a whiz in one of those dark underground corners, behind the escalator at the Memorial. Always happens and it smells bad.	3/9/04 4:32 PM	chuck	desler	place rville	ca	95667
43	Nicole Palumbo	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Neighborhoods	3 Hanover Square #10F		212-514-5582	nicolepalumbo@rcn.com	As a resident of the financial district who is already dealing with at times unbearable noise situations at all hours of the day and night, I am asking you to give us special consideration. Please do not issue special variances that allow for construction after hours. As I write this email, at 9:30 PM, there is construction going on at 5 Hanover Square. If these people are given permits to work until 10:00, they will push it to 11 or 12 easily. With no one to check up on the contractors, liberties will be taken. If no construction is allowed after earlier time limits of lets say 6 or 7:00PM, then even if the contractors are working later than their permits allow, at least it won't go as late as it is now occuring all around my neighborhood. Calling 311 and lodging complaints seems to keep things quiet for short periods of time, but after a week or two, they will be back at it again. My husband & I recently purchased and moved into our current apartment. Prior to that, we lived in Battery Park City for three years. We stayed after 9/11 and decided to commit to lower manhattan. If your goal is to bring people down here, then another goal should also be to make it easier for them to stay. There are times when I greatly regret purchasing our apartment. I do not feel that enough consideration is given to the residents in the wall street area. Battery park city has a very strong, well established group of people willing to fight for the rights of the residents, but that is the exception down here. I am requesting that the LMDC & the City of New York work it out so that construction (including the hauling away of debris) be limited to much earlier hours than the ones currently in place. I see no reason for special variances unless there are emergency situations that warrant them. The conversion of a building into apartments or the construction of a new apartment building in my mind, should NOT qualify for after	3/8/04 10:00 PM	Nicole	Palumbo	New York	NY	10004

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
									hours construction. I would be more than willing to provide further input or volunteer my time if there are things that I can do to help remedy this situation. Thank you for your consideration. Nicole Palumbo						
44	martin acosta	lbcc	Other	WTC Site Planning	3647 falcon ave		562-426-2757	macosta38@earthlink.net	i'm a student at long beach city college, and i'm doing a project on the new world trade center and i'm looking for designs and any info on the project.could you please send any info to me. thank you	3/8/04 8:10 PM	martin	acosta	long beach	ca	90807
45	Gary K. Guss	Graduate Student University of Baltimore	Resident of United States, outside of Tri-State area	Other	5004 Branch Way #305	Willow Way Mills, Md. 21117	410-363-9044	gkg5150316@comcast.net	To Whom it May Concern, My name is Gary Guss. I'm a graduate student in the Publications Design Program at the University of Baltimore. In researching my thesis project - Sustainability and Architecture - (WTC - Freedom Tower) I came across your your web site. I'm having trouble tracking down high resolution photos, plans and articles. For some reason, my computer (I-Mac OS9), will not open any of the DGEIS files. Is there any other way I may access these articles and / or images? My thesis is a publication that is meant for the classroom only. Aside from a judging competition at the end of the semester it will not be seen by outside eyes. You have my word that this project will not be reproduced in any way, shape or form. The images and articles I write will not be published for public consumption or profit. Your time is greatly appreciated, Gary K. Guss Owings Mills, Maryland	3/8/04 10:33 AM	Gary	K. Guss	Owings Mills	Md.	21117
46	NULL	NULL	Rescue worker for 9/11	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL	Cityslob@aol.com	Rules: You must follow the rules, but maybe you can break the rules. Guidelines: You must address all program elements, but if you don't, it might be good or may make you ineligible. Boundaries: You must stay within the lines, but it may be possible to go out of the lines. Jury: The jury must judge according to the guidelines, unless they see fit not to, and whether they do or not, their decision is final. Finalists: All Stage II finalists must provide cost and feasibility studies, unless no one notices until it's too late.	3/8/04 9:55 AM		NULL			
47	Marianne Wolkstein	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Transportation	200 Rector Place 29M	New York, NY 10280	212-608-0350	mariwolk@aol.com	The new proposed tunnel will create a nuisance for all of us who live and work downtown. I have been through enough - give us a break!	3/7/04 10:37 AM	Mariann e	Wolkst ein	New York	NY	10280
48	Angelique Vandervis	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	NULL	280 Rector PI 9F	NYC 10280	NULL	AVandervis@aol.com	Please leave West St. alone. I oppose to the idea to make a tunnel under west st between vesey and liberty st. Our community is affected enough, to know that it's all on the other side of the highway is better for the mind en better for our community.	3/7/04 7:14 AM	Angeliq ue	Vande rvis			

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
49	Joey Jolley	NULL	Resident of United States, outside of Tri-State area	Transportation	NULL	NULL	NULL	johnmunch@qw est.net	Are the streets in Lower Manhattan going to be closed to traffic? And are any other streets in NYC being closed to traffic? Is NYC becoming a car-free city?	3/6/04 6:34 AM	Joey	Jolley			
50	Joey Jolley	NULL	Resident of United States, outside of Tri-State area	Transportation	NULL	NULL	NULL	johnmunch@qw est.net	Are the streets in Lower Manhattan going to be closed to traffic?	3/6/04 6:34 AM	Joey	Jolley			
51	Michael Shamiyeh	NULL	Rescue worker for 9/11	WTC Site Planning	Untere Donaulaende 8	Linz/Austria/4020	NULL	m.shamiyeh@post.harvard.edu	I am looking for a video (mpeg etc.) on the presentation of the winning WTC plan presented by Libeskind. Does such a video exist and where could I obtain it?	3/6/04 6:09 AM	Michael	Shamiyeh			
52	prof. Paolo Bulletti	Studio Centers International	Art	Other	809 United Nations Plaza	New York, NY 10017-3580	(212) 984-5548	DesignDepartment@saciflorence.org	Dear Sirs I am the Head of the Design Dept. at SACI. I had the opportunity to see a movie on a DVD about interviewees to the architects who participated in the competition. We would be very interested to receive a copy of such film to show to our american students. Thanks for your help	3/5/04 10:53 AM	prof.	Paolo Bulletti	New York	NY	10017-3580
53	Douglas Huang	NULL	Resident of United States, outside of Tri-State area	WTC Site Planning	NULL	NULL	NULL	dhuang@wt.net	I would very much like to know when we will build the Freedom Tower. I believe that it, more than the memorial, symbolized the strength and resilience of the United States, and should be built quickly. Can you keep me posted on when the construction will begin and finish? Better yet, post that information on this website so that others who are concerned can find out. Thank you, Doug	3/4/04 8:09 PM	Douglas	Huang			
54	Kate Millea	LMDC	NULL	Other	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL	3/4/04 1:13 PM	Kate	Millea			
55	Woodrow M. Riley	www.askinventor.com	Other	Other	7272 Barrons #302	South Rd. Roanoke, VA 24019	540-561-0622	askinventor@cox.net	You might want to make allowances before starting construction for a new kind of heating system being developed by Robert D. Hunt: www.fuellessflight.com . The system that he has designed for a new kind of airplane is also integrated into 4 inventions. It has to do with setting up an internalized Climate machine that can provide electricity to power your entire building. I read through all of his long pages, and I believe you could better look at what he has. He uses the physical properties of gases and many other factors to construct a "Closed Loop" System that runs continuously. The genius of his system is that while it runs continuously it turns a generator system. It certainly would be quite a triumph in memory to the people who lost their lives, that an airplane designer would provide a system that would power up your new design. Please take the time to investigate this man's work. He also uses an invention of mine or, more correctly, a variation of one of my inventions, as part of the system... being a new wind machine that helps draw off excess heat from the top of the tower. You really should look at what this man has. I'm afraid if you do not that about the time your buildings are complete, they will be archaic if they don't have Mr. Hunt's futuristic electrical production integrated into the design. Woodrow Riley (www.newpath4.com/faithgrandmaandapplepie.htm) and a	3/4/04 6:36 AM	Woodrow	M. Riley	Roanoke	VA	24019

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
									student of the Closed Loop.						
56	Herbert T. Duane, Jr.	Duane Corporattion	Other	WTC Site Planning	51 Park Street	Dorchester, MA 02122	617-282-4885	herb@rubblemakers.com	I would like to know the name of the person that will be in charge demolition for the Deutsche Bank Tower. I do demolition consulting work on large projects and would like to offer my assistance. You can view my resume on my web site www.demolitionconsulting.oom on the google search engine. Thank you for your consideration. Herb Duane	3/3/04 2:52 PM	Herbert	T. Duane, Jr.	Dorchester	MA	2122
57	Kshitij Misra	Columbia University	Resident of NYC outside of Lower Manhattan	NULL	4975 Lerner Hall	New York, NY 10027	212-853-6017	km2155@columbia.edu	Hello, I was looking forward to contributing to the redevelopment of lower manhattan. Are there any opportunities, internships and volunteer activities where I can participate in this gigantic task. Thanks for your time, Kshitij Columbia University	3/3/04 12:50 AM	Kshitij	Misra	New York	NY	10027
58	Blythe Cain	Parsons school Design	Former resident of Lower Manhattan	WTC Site Planning	71 thompson st apt 5b	NYC, NY 10012	610- 392-7774	blnktovreyes@hotmail.com	To the LMDC: My name is Blythe, and I am a student at Parsons School of Design and have been following the construction plans for the new World Trade Center. I really feel that there should be a designed space that integrates all types of design; furniture, fashion, product, green design, technology design, industrial design..etc. These designed objects would be future forward and would display the origin of the design. I have been using these ideas as my thesis, and I have been gathering some serious support... meaning, young design students are interested!!!! Why not integrate young design students of New York???? I would appreciate any information on how it might even be possible to get involved.. thank you who ever you are.. Blythe Cain	3/2/04 2:10 PM	Blythe	Cain	NYC	NY	10012
59	Blythe Cain	Parsons school Design	Former resident of Lower Manhattan	WTC Site Planning	71 thompson st apt 5b	NYC, NY 10012	610- 392-7774	blnktovreyes@hotmail.com	To the LMDC: My name is Blythe, and I am a student at Parsons School of Design and have been following the construction plans for the new World Trade Center. I really feel that there should be a designed space that integrates all types of design; furniture, fashion, product, green design, technology design, industrial design..etc. These designed objects would be future forward and would display the origin of the design. I have been using these ideas as my thesis, and I have been gathering some serious support... meaning, young design students are interested!!!! Why not integrate young design students of New York???? I would appreciate any information on how it might even be possible to get involved.. thank you who ever you are.. Blythe Cain	3/2/04 2:10 PM	Blythe	Cain	NYC	NY	10012
60	Cecilia Andersen	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Neighborhoods	South Avenue End	New York	NULL	cecilia@garvaninteractive.com	Please be sensitive to residents in BPC in regards to the construction hours. They should be strictly kept to a 9-5 schedule so that families with children can retain a decent quality of life. Think of what you would like to have across the street from your home where your children are trying to sleep and play.	3/2/04 9:28 AM	Cecilia	Andersen			

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
61	diane dreyfus	911_HealthAlerts	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	253 Elizabeth street	nyc ny 10012	2122267027	diane_dreyfus@UTECHO.com	Inventory of Community Facilities is INCOMPLETE MUST INCLUDE All Schools and Libraries in the Primary and Secondary Study Areas.	3/2/04 8:53 AM	diane	dreyfus			
62	Ellen Biancianiello	Hopkins Foodservice Specialists	Other	WTC Site Planning	280 Madison Avenue	New York, NY 10016	212-679-9293	ebaquarian@aol.com	Through the New York State Contract Reporter, it was announced that the RFP for Associate Architect [01 LMDC 36, due March 22] would be available on your web site today, March 1. I have been unable to locate it. Is it not yet posted - or am I missing something? Thank you, Ellen	3/1/04 10:31 AM	Ellen	Biancianiello	New York	NY	10016
63	Layes	artist	Other	Other	01 bp 1769 abidjan 01	cote d'ivoire	24/49/42/57	lfadigafr@yahoo.fr	Je vous suggère de prévoir des édifices religieux un remple, une mosquée, une synagogue et une église ceci donnerait plus d'éclat à l'ouvrage. merci Fadiga	2/29/04 8:08 AM		Layes			
64	Petter Nordahl	Norwegian Center for Design	Resident of country outside of United States	WTC Site Planning	Kongens gate 4	N-0153 Oslo Norway	+47 22 47 74 10	petter.nordahl@norskform.no	Dear LMDC. I am contacting you on behalf of Norsk Form, the Norwegian Centre for Design, Architectur and the Built Environment. Norsk Form is a trust established at the initiative of the Royal Ministry of Culture, and was opened to the public September 3th 1993. Between March 19th(Friday) and 22th(Monday) we are planning a study trip to New York. Our focus on this visit will be contemporary ideas within the field of architecture and urban planning. Is it possible to have a short talk with Your Department for Planning during our visit? If you have opportunity to meet us, please tell. If not, please tell. An answer before Tuesday 2th of March would be fine. If it's possible to arrange a meeting with You, then we can talk about a schedule later. Yours sincerely Petter Nordahl Architect/Project Manager Telephone: +47 22 47 74 10 Telefax: +47 22 47 74 19 Cellar: +47 90 11 46 73 http://www.norskform.no/	2/27/04 5:26 AM	Petter	Nordahl			
65	Bill Hough	NULL	Employee in Lower Manhattan	WTC Site Planning	238 East 30th Street #2F	New York, NY 10016	212-689-3936	psa188@yahoo.com	Following are comments on the Draft Scope of the WTC Memorial and Redevelopment plan. It should be noted that this entire process is flawed, because the "Proposed Action" does not reflect the desires of a majority of New Yorkers. At numerous LMDC public comment sessions, more speakers called for rebuilding the Twin Towers than supported the Libeskind plan. Despite this, no "restoration alternative" was presented to the public during any of these comment sessions. During 2002, six "Preliminary Design Concepts" were unveiled to universal distain, and nine subsequent designs were announced later in the year. During this process, the "Restoration Alternative" was ignored by the policy makers despite repeated requests from the public that it be considered. The exercise of rebuilding the WTC site should not be viewed as some abstract exercise in urban planning. Rather, it is a necessary response to an act of mass murder and urban vandalism on an unprecedented scale. As such, the analysis using the "Current Conditions Scenario" is inappropriate. Because the destruction of the WTC was an unprovoked attack and not a planned redevelopment, the only appropriate method of analysis is the "Pre-9/11 Scenario". Furthermore, not replacing what the murderers destroyed is validating this horrible atrocity for generations to come. The appearance and function of	2/26/04 1:40 PM	Bill	Hough	New York	NY	10016

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip	
									Manhattan must not be dictated by criminals. Furthermore, the Libeskind plan is significantly flawed in ways too numerous to list here. Two examples: restoring Fulton and Greenwich Streets through the WTC site will have adverse environmental impacts because it will encourage more vehicles to enter lower Manhattan. The area is already too congested; adding more roads will simply encourage more people to drive downtown. Also, the so-called "Wedge of Light" is a farce because it has been demonstrated that the area in question will actually be covered in shadow during the morning of 9/11. All references to the Wedge of Light should be deleted from the analysis. The "Restoration Alternative" should plan for rebuilding the Twin Towers closer to Church Street, leaving the "footprints" of the former towers vacant for the memorial. Since the political decision was made not to build on the footprints, the "Restoration Alternative" needs to take this into account. A modified "Restoration Alternative", with the towers built away from the "footprints", more accurately reflects the desires of many New Yorkers who expressed a desire to see the Twin Towers rebuilt while acknowledging the necessity of providing a memorial to the victims of terrorism. Towers proposed under the "Restoration Alternative" must incorporate all modern safety and environmental systems, rather than the mid-1960s standards of the old buildings. The Libeskind plan's buildings are unattractive, and too short to accommodate the 10 million square feet of office space that must be built at the site. Not restoring all of the lost office space will result i							
66	Bruce Leong	Carlton Leong	Resident of country outside United States	WTC Site Planning	86 Holtermann Street	Crows nest NSW 2065	612 02 8923 8200	bruce@carltonleong.com.au	My company in Sydney Australia is arranging a promotion for architects where the first prize is a trip to New York City to see the plans for the new World Trade Center replacement building. The promotion will be drawn in the second half of 2004. Can you please advise me where the plans are on display and is it still possible for the public to view the plans. Is there a cost to view the plans? Thank you very much for any help you may be able to provide. Regards, Bruce Leong Director, Carlton Leong	2/26/04 12:12 AM	Bruce	Leong				
67	werwerwer	rerere	Survivor of 9/11	WTC Site Planning	3b4rb34tn3465	b13451345	b13451134b513	13b45b1345	3145b1354b	2/25/04 7:59 AM		werwerwer				
68	Adam Sipos	Florida Office of the Attorney General	Resident of United States, outside Tri-State area	WTC Site Planning	321 W. Park Ave. #1	Tallahassee, FL 32301	(850) 222-8626	acs4787@garnet.acns.fsu.edu	I am trying to gather contact information for Daniel Libeskind and Michael Arad. If it is not too much trouble, could you please provide me with email and postal addresses. Thank you for your assistance. Sincerely, Adam Sipos, Ph.D.	2/25/04 7:55 AM	Adam	Sipos	Tallahassee	FL	32301	
69	Lawrence Braun	NULL	Resident of Tri-State area outside of NYC	WTC Site Planning	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL	http://www.teamtwintowers.org/planindex.html That is the most complete, satisfying design to rebuild the WTC with. It gives us back what we lost, but also has appropriate memorial, cultural, and retail space. Nobody wants Libeskind.	2/24/04 10:15 PM	Lawrence	Braun				
70	diane dreyfus	unitech operations inc	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of	WTC Site Planning	253 elizabeth street	nyc, ny 10012	2122267027	didreyfus@yahoo.com	EVACUATION SPACE There are no standards for evacuation space to accomodate double wide stair cases. NFPA is in the process of creating such a standard. What Evacuation Space will Silverstein supply?	2/24/04 9:13 PM	diane	dreyfus	nyc	ny	10012	

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
			Houston Street												
71	Raymond Ordille	resident of BPC	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	200 Rector Place, 3T	New York, NY 10280	NULL	raylink9@yahoo.com	To whom it may concern: As a Battery Park City resident I believe the construction of a West Street Tunnel be devastating on the economy and quality of life of where I live. There is no real reason for the tunnel to be built. Besides all the money wasted for this stupid idea. I am in favor of an at-grade boulevard with better features. It would be must less money and take much less time. Thanks, raylink9@yahoo.com	2/24/04 5:00 PM	Raymond	Ordille	New York	NY	10280
72	Julie Kuehndorf	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	365 South End Ave, 5A	New York, NY 10280	(212) 488-7136	jkuehndorf@aol.com	My comments will be limited to the proposed tunnel that would be built under West Street adjacent to the WTC site. I adamantly oppose this tunnel, as do my neighbors. Not only will the construction make the neighborhood unliveable, but the tunnel itself will be of no benefit to the community. It will only create dangerous pedestrian crossings, and add to the pollution in the area. If \$500 a month was what kept some people in Battery Park City and brought new people in during the two years after 9/11, it will cost much, much more to keep them if a tunnel is built. Those of us who stayed after the Twin Towers fell know how difficult it was to reach BPC when West Street was closed after the Twin Towers fell. Construction of a tunnel will surely have a similar effect. Now the grants are ending and rents are going up, just when construction at the site itself is about to begin. If a tunnel is built as well, BPC will see an exodus similar to that after 9/11. There is no need for a tunnel. Save some money and let those of us who stayed keep our neighborhood as it is. Sincerely, Julie Kuehndorf	2/23/04 10:41 PM	Julie	Kuehndorf	New York	NY	10280
73	Tim Lannan	Neighbors Against N.O.I.S.E. [Noxious Odors Incessant Sounds and Emissions]	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street, Owner of business in Lower Manhattan	Other	55 Hudson Street	New York, NY 10013	212-233-7062	tlannan@nyc.rr.com	Other than noise generated by construction equipment, the DGEIS does not adequately address the potential impact of noise and seems to assume that, because the site is already noisy, additional noise is acceptable. To the contrary, every effort should be made to use this opportunity to reduce the overall noise level both during and post-construction. This is important for several reasons: the Memorial should be as quiet as possible to facilitate contemplation and reflection; parks and open spaces will be more enjoyable in a quieter environment; and there are several residences and schools nearby. The entire site – not just the Memorial – should use noise reduction features and technology to reduce exterior noise levels and not simply incorporate noise attenuation measures for interior spaces. No building should inflict nearby residents, workers, or visitors with excessive noise pollution. Consideration should be given to how new and evolving technologies might reduce the noise levels created by the Proposed Action. These might include: Reducing the amount of vehicular traffic. Setting guidelines for stationary noise sources, similar to the Sustainable Design Guidelines, that meet or exceed all existing and pending codes and regulations. This would include setting standards for the type, size, quality, and placement of HVAC systems, generators,	2/23/04 10:48 AM	Tim	Lannan	New York	NY	10013

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city	state	zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
74	Tim Lannan	Neighbors Against N.O.I.S.E. [Noxious Odors Incessant Sounds and Emissions]	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street, Owner of business in Lower Manhattan	Other	55 Street	Hudson	New York, NY	10013	212-233-7062	tlannan@nyc.rr.com	and mechanical equipment. For example: * Because the flow of air as well as HVAC equipment itself can create significant noise, maximum face velocity should be limited to 1000 fpm at discharge louvers and HVAC equipment should have a minimum of 7 feet of 2" thick, 3 lb. density ductliner from the unit to the louver face on the exterior of the building and high-quality sound traps. * Exterior condensing units should be eliminated as much as possible and all condensing units should be treated with high-quality sound absorbing panels to reduce reflection of sound to adjacent buildings. * Emergency generators should be hospital grade or better with acoustically treated radiator discharge, intake, and exhaust pipe. This treatment should include 3 ft. sound traps for the radiator intake/discharge and a critical-grade muffler for the exhaust. Every effort should be made to meet HUD Site Acceptability Standards of 65 dBA for the Memorial. Given the stated commitment to environmental sensitivity, the entire project site should adhere to the HUD requirement to "be aware of the problem of noise and to take positive steps to protect residential and other sensitive land uses from high noise levels." Therefore, anything other than a decrease in aggregate noise levels is unacceptable and the proposal to permit a 3 dBA should be rejected. In no case should the site, when fully built, exceed the current NYC Noise Code ambient noise quality criteria for noise quality zones N-3 of Leq-70 dBA measured for any one hour (subchapter 6, Section 24-243) – and, ideally, it will fall well below that. Other than noise generated by construction equipment, the DGEIS does not adequately address the potential impact of noise and seems to assume that, because the site is already noisy, additional noise is acceptable. To the contrary, every effort should be made to use this opportunity to reduce the overall noise level both during and post-construction. This is important for several reasons: the Memorial should be as quiet as possible to facilitate contemplation and reflection; parks and open spaces will be more enjoyable in a quieter environment; and there are several residences and schools nearby. The entire site – not just the Memorial – should use noise reduction features and technology to reduce exterior noise levels and not simply incorporate noise attenuation measures for interior spaces. No building should inflict nearby residents, workers, or visitors with excessive noise pollution. Consideration should be given to how new and evolving technologies might reduce the noise levels created by the Proposed Action. These might include: Reducing the amount of vehicular traffic. Setting guidelines for stationary noise sources, similar to the Sustainable Design Guidelines, that meet or exceed all existing and pending codes and regulations. This would include setting standards for the type, size, quality, and placement of HVAC systems, generators, and mechanical equipment. For example: * Because the flow of air as well as HVAC equipment itself can create significant noise, maximum face velocity should be limited to 1000 fpm at discharge louvers and HVAC equipment should have a	2/23/04 10:20 AM	Tim	Lannan	New York	NY	10013

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
75	Tim Lannan	Neighbors Against N.O.I.S.E. [Noxious Odors Incessant Sounds and Emissions]	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street, Owner of business in Lower Manhattan	Other	55 Street	Hudson New York, NY 10013	212-233-7062	tlannan@nyc.rr.com	minimum of 7 feet of 2" thick, 3 lb. density ductliner from the unit to the louver face on the exterior of the building and high-quality sound traps. * Exterior condensing units should be eliminated as much as possible and all condensing units should be treated with high-quality sound absorbing panels to reduce reflection of sound to adjacent buildings. * Emergency generators should be hospital grade or better with acoustically treated radiator discharge, intake, and exhaust pipe. This treatment should include 3 ft. sound traps for the radiator intake/discharge and a critical-grade muffler for the exhaust. Every effort should be made to meet HUD Site Acceptability Standards of 65 dBA for the Memorial. Given the stated commitment to environmental sensitivity, the entire project site should adhere to the HUD requirement to "be aware of the problem of noise and to take positive steps to protect residential and other sensitive land uses from high noise levels." Therefore, anything other than a decrease in aggregate noise levels is unacceptable and the proposal to permit a 3 dBA should be rejected. In no case should the site, when fully built, exceed the current NYC Noise Code ambient noise quality criteria for noise quality zones N-3 of Leq-70 dBA measured for any one hour (subchapter 6, Section 24-243) – and, ideally, it will fall well below the Section 1.7.2 states, "(t)he Proposed Action would meet or exceed safety standards expressed by applicable building codes and guidelines." Because the Port Authority is exempt from NYC codes, assurance should be provided that the site will be specifically required to meet or exceed all NYC, NYS, and Federal codes, guidelines, regulations, and requirements relating to safety and security – those being contemplated or likely to be adopted in the foreseeable future as well as those currently in place. At a minimum, there should be an analysis of the difference between "applicable building codes and guidelines" and what would be required by current and pending NYC, NYS, and Federal requirements. The DGEIS does not appear to include any analysis of the potential impact of fuel stored on the site for emergency generators and other uses. Our experience with the fire at 7 World Trade, which was fueled by diesel fuel stored in the building and burned for months, suggests that no diesel fuel or other flammable liquids should be stored above ground. In addition, the tanks and generators themselves need to be much better protected against accidents and potential terrorist threats, including state-of-the art redundant systems for preventing and fighting fires.	2/23/04 10:18 AM	Tim	Lannan	New York	NY	10013
76	diane dreyfus	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	WTC Site Planning	253 street	elizabeth nyc.ny 10012	2122267027	didreyfus@yahoo.com	It is possible that the Open Space - set out in stingy non contiguous plots will not be sufficient for evacuating the new buildings. While the Tobin Plaza could accommodate partial evacuation. The new fire codes call for the ability to TOTALLY evacuate.	2/20/04 7:16 PM	diane	dreyfus	nyc	ny	10012

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_stat e_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
77	Lorre Powell	NULL	Former resident of Lower Manhattan	WTC Site Planning	7539 Drexel Drive	St. Louis, MO 63130	314-863-9078	lorre_powell@yahoo.com	<p>Hello, I was happy to see the recent launch of the review of the submissions for the WTC Memorial. My entry was one of the 1,755 from New York. I was compelled, like so many other New Yorkers, to in some way contribute to the healing of a great city. Due to economic reasons I have relocated but would welcome the opportunity to return to NYC. Resume-Lorre Powell Art Director/Graphic Designer 7539 Drexel Drive, St. Louis MO 63130 314-863-9078 lorre_powell@yahoo.com</p> <p>A creative & strategic professional with proven abilities in developing national marketing communication. Able to spearhead the exploration of concepts and manage the design process to implementation. -Advertising/Direct Response -Graphic Design -Corporate Identity -Web & Information Design -Strategic Planning -Concept Development -Photography</p> <p>CAREER HISTORY Dotted Eye/Ecteon 1999-2002/Sr. Art Director Collaborated with project manager and client supervisor to build strategic plans for various web site and software development projects. Developed creative briefs and managed design process to implementation. Ensured that all communication vehicles worked in unison to establish a brand voice within the marketplace.www.DottedEye.com Clients Include: Ecteon, Fable Records, www.IJournals.com, www.BMGMusicSearch.com, www.Festivals.com, www.BillyTaylorJazz.com, www.JohnClaytonJazz.com, www.JSLRecords.com, www.LVanhart.com, www.TheWhaleClub.com, www.StringsForSchools.org The Brain Food Company 1995-2003/CreativeConsultant/Owner Create memorable communication in various target markets utilizing a breadth of experience including logo & corporate identity,print advertising, promotional materials and web sites. Clients Include: Chapman Direct-Guinness Beer,Key Bank Grey Interactive-Covergirl, Liz Claiborne, Dell, Jockey, Hasbro Scholastic-First Field Guide Promo Site Dreyfus Records-Music Promotions/Lucky Peterson, Jean Michel Jarre Mezzina/Brown Inc., 1991-1995/Creative Supervisor Successfully transitioned national account, as original member of creative team in new agency. Handled all aspects of the integrated advertising campaign including; print, direct response, point of sale, merchandise catalog and promotions. Led and mentored a team of 10 creatives in the development of strategically driven concepts. Pitched new ideas and sold work to clients. Served as creative catalyst for agency's new business development. Clients Include: Swatch, New York City Board of Elections, Sky Vodka, Camel Young & Rubicam, 1989-1991/Art Director Handled high volume account from initial creative development to press checks. Directed photographers and illustrators in the creation of artwork. Created concepts and design for Camel</p>	2/20/04 5:19 PM	Lorre	Powell	St. Louis	MO	63130

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
									Matches and Specialty Out O						
78	David C. Allen	Grissom labs	Visitor to Lower Manhattan	Other	105 Whitehouse rd lot#88	Rochester NH, 03867	603-335-0457	zarcondeegrissom@yahoo.com	did any of you even look at Turning House Enterprises design? http://www.wtc2002.com/	2/20/04 5:04 PM	David	C. Allen	Rochester NH	3867	3867
79	Esther Regelson	NULL	Survivor of 9/11	WTC Site Planning	109 Washington St. #5	New York, NY 10006	212-349-4396	estjack@earthlink.net	I attended the meeting of 2/18/04 and was outraged at the group of "tourists" who spoke about rebuilding the twin towers. I felt opposing comment was necessary. From my home two blocks away, I saw my life flash before my eyes as the South Tower of the WTC was falling, and I asked myself, "How could such a building fall so readily, and not allow for proper rescue operations?" These buildings should not be built again. Many of my fellow residents agree with these "crackpots" in one regard - The Freedom Tower is lackluster, and the memorial plan is predictable and expensive. It pales in comparison to the wonderful vision of Santiago Calatrava's PATH Station. My hope is that if nothing else, the architects and planners will be willing to rethink the memorial. No other stark representation of what happened on 9-11 could be had than to reconstruct the skeleton of what remained of the South Tower wall on Liberty Street after 9-11. During a drought when the waterfalls in the future plan lay dormant, people will stare incredulously at the ruins that remain, and remember what happened here, stark and indelible.	2/20/04 4:47 PM	Esther	Regelson	New York	NY	10006
80	Terry L. Harlow Schoen	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	77 Fulton Street	NY, NY 10038	212-345-0943	terryandrobby@aol.com	We have read with great interest the EPA study. We live 4 blocks from the site and are very concerned about noise and quality of life over the next 4+ years. This issue must be addressed as a high priority or there may be a mass exodus from and limited entry into Lower Manhattan housing. Property value may also suffer in the short term. We are especially concerned about work during the middle of the night and on weekends.	2/20/04 6:54 AM	Terry	L. Harlow Schoen	NY	NY	10038
81	James Dostie	NULL	Resident of United States, outside of Tri-State area	WTC Site Planning	43 Green Street	Winthrop, Maine 04364	207 322 6403	jdostie@adelphia.net	In my opinion the group which calls itself "Team Twin Towers" is correct: The rebuilding of the site as it stood before the September 11, 2001, attacks would be the best way to restore the New York skyline. Thank you for letting me register my opinion. -Jim Dostie	2/19/04 12:47 PM	James	Dostie	Winthrop	Maine	4364

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
82	John Woodburn	NULL	Resident of United States, outside of Tri-State area	WTC Site Planning	NULL		NULL	johnwoodburn@hotmail.com	The original plan for the Freedom Tower and the spire was wonderful. The new, open, windmill structure atop the 70 stories weakens the once grand plan. The graceful spire now appears to be scaffolding above a building. The plan chosen was my only preference for rebuilding, however am unhappy with the lattice work modification to the plan. I hope there further changes to incorporate a smooth transition between the building and windmills. The transition is abrupt to the eye and the long, smooth spire is lost. Keep up the good work!	2/19/04 9:25 AM	John	Woodburn			
83	Eric Vish	NULL	Resident of Tri-State area outside of NYC	WTC Site Planning	858 Kent Lane	Phila., PA 19115	NULL	mvev26@comcast.net	Hi: Please rebuild the World Trade Towers exactly like they used to be. Anything else would be Un-American. Rebuilding the Towers like they used to be is the Best and Only way New York City and the rest of America can tell terrorists worldwide that America will rebuild anything that they try to destroy. Building anything different in the Towers' location will let the terrorists win (and America will lose). Thanks for reading this! Sincerely Eric Vish :)	2/18/04 10:29 PM	Eric	Vish	Phila.	PA	19115
84	Raymond Ordille	NULL	Resident of Lower Manhattan south of Houston Street	Other	200 Rector Place, 3T	New York, NY 10280	NULL	raylink9@yahoo.com	To whom it may concern: As a resident of Battery Park City I strongly oppose the tunneling of the West Side Highway along the WTC site. It is not necessary and a waste of money. Couldn't this money be spent on something better? The vast majority of residents downtown are strongly opposed to it as you know. Thanks, raylink9@yahoo.com	2/17/04 5:30 PM	Raymond	Ordille	New York	NY	10280
85	Daniela Borntraeger	ITI Marketing	Other	Other	P.O. Box 1434	Brunswick, GA 31521	912 267 1558	daniela@iti-marketing.com	Dear Madam or Sir, as our company submitted a proposal for the Chinatown RFP for Representation and Marketing services, we would be highly interested in receiving a list of the contracted agencies as well as an evaluation report and - if possible - copies of the awarded proposals. Many thanks in advance for your help. We are looking forward to hearing from you. Best regards, Daniela Borntraeger ITI Marketing	2/17/04 9:20 AM	Daniela	Borntraeger	Brunswick	GA	31521
86	David Kupferberg	Team Towers	Employee in Lower Manhattan	WTC Site Planning	2988 AVE Z APT 1	Brooklyn NY 11235-1552	(718)332-7386	dkupf@yahoo.com	I have been wholeheartedly in favor of the "Restoration Alternative" since September 11, 2001. One most important chapters of the DGEIS is Chapter 23, your six-page hatchet job. You distill it into three unsubstantiated paragraphs of the 72-page Executive Summary. In the introduction (23.4) you mention the possibility of reclaiming the old footprints and cite "the public's expressed desire for some meaningful recognition of these footprints" as a reason for not considering such action. But, it was Governor Pataki's squelching of debate among an evenly divided public that sought to take this off the table. "Meaningful recognition" does NOT necessarily mean total emptiness! In 23.4.1 you complain that security would be lessened, concerned with things like checkpoints for delivery trucks. But, it's the Proposed Action that allows unchecked trucks to rumble straight through the site at ground level, perhaps holding bombs! In 23.4.2 you call the new twins shorter than the "Freedom Tower" in an apples-to-oranges comparison, and indulge in subjective urban design preferences in trying to make retaining the old philosophy be a disadvantage. In 23.4.3 you indicate that protection plans WOULD be needed for any new development of the Deutsche Bank site, even though the Executive Summary claims there NOT being such	2/15/04 7:49 PM	David	Kupferberg			

#	name	organization	profile	category	address	city_state_zip	telephone	email	comments	dt_rec	fname	lname	city	state	zip
87	Craig Pless	PEP Inc.	Filters, Lower Manhattan	Visitor to WTC Site Planning	322 Rolling Hill Road	Moorestville, NC 28117	704-662-3133 ext:211	cpless@pepfilters.com	plans is a reason why the Proposed Action is better. 23.4.7 admits there's no worse socioeconomic impact with new Twins, except that you invoke the "nobody would work up there" fears the terrorists love so much. 23.4.8,10,13-15,and 18 admits that there's nothing worse about new Twins when it comes to "neighborhood character", infrastructure, air quality, noise, the "coastal zone", and electromagnetic fields. 23.4.11 is an exercise in confusion, having elsewhere said that the Restoration Alternative means you won't slice the site up with streets as you would with Libeskind(23.4.3). Here it says you will (and consider this increase in traffic as something positive). You engage in guesswork as to how the Deutsche Bank site will be redeveloped in order to raise the traffic numbers if there are new Twins. 23.4.12 indicates that for transit and pedestrians the Restoration Alternative is better, though you try hard to discount this. 23.4.16 admits that there's nothing worse about new Twins when it comes when it comes to the floodplain, though you try to use the fuzziness of your conceptualization of the Restoration Alternative as a point against it. 23.4.17 admits that there's nothing worse about new Twins when it comes to natural resources, though you call new Twins worse for birds. 23.4.19 can only say the construction impacts new Twins would be different, and the possibility of independent redevelopment of the Deutsche Bank sight MIGHT add up to something worse. All this...and you want me to yield in the face of terrorism, and settle for the Libeskind plan. NO!!! I WILL NOT!!! The World Trade Center A couple years ago, we got involved with the WWII memorial project in Washington DC. As a result, we provided the water filtration system for the memorial decorative water fountain. I noticed that the WTC memorial also includes the use of water fountains and we would like to offer our engineering assistance and also donate the filter system used to keep the fountain water clean and clear. Who can we contact about making this type of contribution? We will be honored to help with this portion of the project. Regards, Craig Pless PEP Filters, Inc.	2/14/04 8:22 PM	Craig	Pless	Moorestville	NC	28117

